

GROUP-LIKE EXPANSIONS AND INVARIANTS OF STRING LINKS

HISATOSHI KODANI

ABSTRACT. In this article, we define and study the total Milnor invariant and the infinitesimal Morita-Milnor homomorphism as punctured disk analogues of the total Johnson map and the infinitesimal Morita homomorphism studied by Kawazumi and Massuyeau in the case of surface of positive genus with one boundary component.

INTRODUCTION

Special derivations of the free Lie algebra was introduced by Ihara for the sake of studying the structure of the absolute Galois group of rational number field in the context of the Galois action on the algebraic fundamental group of projective line minus three points ([Ih]).

Special derivations are also studied by A. Alekseev, B. Enriquez, C. Torossian in the context of Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and they showed that the Kontsevich integral defines the special type of expansion ([AET]). Then, Massuyeau introduced the notion of special expansions which generalize this type of expansions ([Ma2]).

In this article, we firstly define the total Milnor invariant for special derivations of the free Lie algebra. Then, we define the total Milnor invariant for string links in terms of special expansions. This total Milnor invariant is aimed for the punctured disk counter part of the total Johnson map introduced by Kawazumi ([Ka]) for the case of a surface of positive genus with one boundary component. We show that total Milnor invariant for the string links gives the generalization of Milnor invariant of degree k which has diagrammatic interpretation and appear in tree reduction of Kontsevich integral ([HM]).

Secondly, we define the infinitesimal Morita-Milnor homomorphism as a punctured disk analogue of the infinitesimal Morita homomorphism introduced by Massuyeau for the case of a surface of positive genus with one boundary component. We also show that this infinitesimal Morita-Milnor homomorphism has similar properties as the case of the infinitesimal Morita homomorphism.

To construct the punctured disk analogue of invariant of a surface of positive genus with one boundary component may be useful not only to study string links but also to study the absolute Galois group because they have similar action on the (completed) free group (cf. [KMT]).

The construction of present article is as follows. In §1, we recall the basics on the pure braid group, string links and the Milnor invariant of degree k . In §2, we recall the diagrammatic interpretation of the third homology group of the free Lie algebra studied by Igusa-Orr and Massuyeau. In §3, we firstly recall the Malcev completion of a group. Then, we recall the notion of group-like expansions and special expansions introduced by Massuyeau. In §3, we define the total Milnor

invariant for special derivations of free Lie algebra. Then, we define the total Milnor invariant for the monoid of string links in terms of a special expansion and we show some properties of it. §5, we define the infinitesimal Morita-Milnor homomorphism and we show its relation with the total Milnor invariant defined in §4.

Notation. For a group G , we denote by $\Gamma_m G$ the m -th term of the lower central series of G defined by

$$\Gamma_1 G := G, \quad \Gamma_m G := [\Gamma_{m-1} G, G] \quad (m \geq 2),$$

and we set

$$\text{gr}_m G := \Gamma_m G / \Gamma_{m+1} G.$$

The commutator of $a, b \in G$ is defined by $[a, b] := aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a commutative field of characteristic 0.

1. THE PURE BRAID GROUP AND STRING LINKS

In this section, we recall the basics of pure braid group and string links. Then, we recall the Milnor invariant of degree k . For more details on these subject, see [Bi] and [HL].

1.1. The pure braid group and string links. Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Let PB_n be the pure braid group with n strings. It is well known that PB_n is generated by A_{ij} ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$) subject to the following relation:

$$A_{rs} A_{ij} A_{rs}^{-1} = \begin{cases} A_{ij} & (\text{if } s < i \text{ or } i < r < s < j), \\ A_{rj}^{-1} A_{ij} A_{rj} & (\text{if } s = i), \\ A_{rj}^{-1} A_{sj}^{-1} A_{ij} A_{sj} A_{rj} & (\text{if } i = r < s < j), \\ A_{rj}^{-1} A_{sj}^{-1} A_{rj} A_{sj} A_{ij} A_{sj}^{-1} A_{rj}^{-1} A_{sj} A_{rj} & (\text{if } r < i < s < j). \end{cases}$$

Here, the product $L \cdot L'$ is defined by stacking L' on L for each $L, L' \in PB_n$. Each generator

Remark 1.1.1. The pure braid group PB_n is the pure mapping class group of a n -punctured disk D_n , i.e. PB_n is the kernel of $\nu : \mathcal{M}(D_n) \rightarrow S_n$ where $\mathcal{M}(D_n)$ is the mapping class group¹ of D_n and S_n is the symmetric group of degree n .

Note that the fundamental group $\pi_1(D_n)$ may be identified with the free group F_n on $x_1, \dots, x_n$ where x_i represents a small loop around i -th puncture clockwise. Since PB_n is the pure mapping class group $\mathcal{PM}(D_n)$ of n -punctured disk D_n (Remark 1.1.1), PB_n acts on the fundamental group $\pi_1(D_n) = F_n$ naturally. Then, we obtain the homomorphism, called the *Artin representation*,

$$\text{Art} : PB_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Aut}_0(F_n).$$

Here, the subgroup $\text{Aut}_0(F_n)$ consists of automorphisms φ such that $\varphi(x_i) = y_i x_i y_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) for some $y_i \in F_n$ and $\varphi(x_1 \cdots x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n$.

¹Here, the mapping class group of a surface Σ is defined as the group of isotopy class of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of Σ which fix the boundary pointwise.

Remark 1.1.2. (1) For each $L \in PB_n$, we have the words y_i by $Art(L)(x_i) = y_i x_i y_i^{-1}$. These words are uniquely determined under the condition of the coefficient of x_i in $[y_i] \in F_n/\Gamma_2 F_n$ to be 0. Hence, $Art(L)$ is completely determined by $\vec{y}(L) = (y_1(L), \dots, y_n(L))$ under this condition. These words y_i coincides with the word of longitude by meridians of the link obtained by closing the pure braid L ².

Let SL_n be the monoid of the isotopy classes of string links. As is explained in [HM], the pure braid group PB_n is identified with the group of invertible elements of SL_n . By Stallings's theorem, we have the k -th Artin representation, for each integer $k \geq 1$,

$$Art_k : SL_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_0(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n).$$

Here, $\text{Aut}_0(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n)$ denotes the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n)$ consisting of automorphisms which send class of generator $\{x_i\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) to its conjugate and fix the class $\{x_1 \cdots x_n\}$. Note that Remark 1.1.2 (1) also holds for the k -th Artin representation of string links, i.e. the k -th Artin representation $Art_k(L)$ is completely determined by $\vec{y}^{(k)}(L) = (y_1^{(k)}(L), \dots, y_n^{(k)}(L))$ and $y_i^{(k)}(L)$ is a word of longitudes by meridians.

1.2. The Milnor invariant of degree k . Notations being as in §1.1, we denote by the kernel of Art_k by $SL_n(k)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} SL_n(k) &:= \text{Ker}(Art_k) \\ &= \{L \in SL_n \mid Art_k(L)(g)g^{-1} \in \Gamma_{k+1}\} \quad (k \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

We then have the descending series

$$SL_n = SL_n(1) \supset \cdots \supset SL_n(k) \supset \cdots$$

and $\{SL_n(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ is called the *Milnor filtration* of SL_n . Let $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the first homology group of D_n with integer coefficients

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}} := H_1(D_n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$$

Then, for $k \geq 1$, the monoid homomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_k : SL_n(k) \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \text{gr}_k F_n \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathfrak{L}_k(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$$

is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_k(L) = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_i] \otimes y_i^{(k)}(L) \cong \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes Y_i^{(k)}(L)$$

and called the *Milnor invariant of degree k* . Here, we canonically identify $\text{gr}_k F_n$ with the degree k -part $\mathfrak{L}_k(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ of the graded free Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}(H_{\mathbb{Z}})$ generated by $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and we denote by $Y_i^{(k)}(L)$ the corresponding element to $y_i^{(k)}(L)$. We note that the coefficients of $Y_i^{(k)}(L)$ is the first non-vanishing Milnor invariants of L defined by Milnor ([Mi]).

²Here, this condition means that we restrict ourselves for the case that pure braids are 0-framed pure braids.

2. JACOBI DIAGRAMS AND A FREE NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRA

In this section, we recall the definition of Jacobi diagrams and its relation with a free Lie algebra. Then, we recall the 3rd homology group of a free nilpotent Lie algebra also has the diagrammatic interpretation. For more details on this subject, see [Ma].

2.1. Jacobi diagrams. Let $X(\neq \emptyset)$ be a compact oriented 1-manifold. A *Jacobi diagram* is a uni-trivalent finite graph whose trivalent vertices are oriented, i.e., incident edges of each trivalent vertex are cyclically ordered. By convention, vertex orientations are given the trigonometric orientation of the plane. A *degree* of a Jacobi diagram is half the number of its univalent vertices and trivalent vertices. A *Jacobi diagram on X* is a Jacobi diagram whose univalent vertices are attached to the interior of X disjointly and each connected component of a Jacobi diagram has at least one univalent vertex in X . We denote by $\mathcal{A}(X)$ the $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space spanned by Jacobi diagrams on X subject to AS, IHX and STU relations depicted as the following figures:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \end{array} & = - & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagdown \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \end{array} \\
 \text{AS} & & \text{IHX} \\
 \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \diagdown \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \end{array} \\
 \text{STU} & &
 \end{array}$$

Conventionally, the components of X are depicted as solid line whereas the Jacobi diagrams are depicted as dashed one. The space $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is graded by the degree of Jacobi diagrams and we denote by same $\mathcal{A}(X)$ its degree completion, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(X) = \prod_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_d(X)$ where $\mathcal{A}_d(X)$ is degree d part of $\mathcal{A}(X)$. For finite set S , we also set $\mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S) = \mathcal{A}(\coprod_{s \in S} \uparrow_s)$ where $\uparrow_s$ denote the copy of (oriented) unit interval $[0, 1]$ for each element $s \in S$. By staking, $\mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S)$ is endowed with structure of algebra. Moreover, for all X the vector space $\mathcal{A}(X)$ has a structure of Hopf algebra by a natural cocommutative comultiplication Δ defined as in [BN]. Hence, we can define primitive elements and group-like elements as follows: An element $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S)$ is called a *primitive element* if $\Delta(\xi) = \xi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi$ and an element $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S)$ is called a *group-like element* if $\Delta(\xi) = \xi \otimes \xi$ and $\epsilon(\xi) = 1$. Here, $\epsilon : \mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is given by $\epsilon(\xi) = 1$ (if ξ has no dashed component) and $\epsilon(\xi) = 0$ (otherwise) for $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S)$.

Let $S(\neq \emptyset)$ be a finite set. Let $\mathcal{B}(S)$ denote the complete graded vector space spanned by Jacobi diagrams whose univalent vertices are labelled by elements of the set S and each connected component has at least one univalent vertex subject to AS and IHX relations. Here the completion is given by the degree of Jacobi diagrams. We note that $\mathcal{B}(S)$ has an algebraic structure given by disjoint union. There is a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type isomorphism $\chi : \mathcal{B}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S)$ which sends a Jacobi diagram to the average of all the ways of putting its univalent vertices labelled by s to the interval $\uparrow_s$ for $s \in S$. Note that the isomorphism χ is not an algebra morphism. In particular, if S is a finite dimensional vector space H , then $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is called the graded vector space of *H-colored* Jacobi diagrams. The vector space

$$\begin{array}{c} v_1 + v_2 \\ | \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ | \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} v_2 \\ | \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \end{array}$$

multilinearity

Let $\mathcal{A}^t(\uparrow_S)$ be the graded quotient of $\mathcal{A}(\uparrow_S)$ by the subspace spanned by Jacobi diagrams containing a non-simply connected dashed component. Then, $\mathcal{B}^t(S) := \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^t(\uparrow_S))$ is the commutative polynomial algebra of $\mathcal{C}^t(S)$. Here, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^t(S)$ the space of connected tree Jacobi diagrams labelled by elements of S .

Example 2.1.1. For the following degree 2 H -colored Jacobi diagram , its associated element of Lie algebra is given by

$$\text{comm}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} & v_1 & v_2 & & v_5 \\ & \diagdown & \diagup & \diagdown & \diagup \\ & & v_3 & & v_4 \\ & & \diagdown & \diagup & \\ & & & r & \end{array}\right) = [[v_1, v_2], [v_3, v_4], v_5].$$

Let H denote a finite dimensional vector space. Let $\mathfrak{L}(H)$ be the free Lie algebra generated by H . The free Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}(H)$ has a grading induced by the commutator length, i.e., $\mathfrak{L}(H) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathfrak{L}_k(H)$. For simplicity, we often denote $\mathfrak{L}(H)$ by $\mathfrak{L}$. Since $\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1}$ coincides with $\Gamma_{k+1}\mathfrak{L}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{k+1}$ is the free nilpotent Lie algebra generated by H of nilpotency class k . The *Koszul complex* of $\mathfrak{L}$ with trivial coefficients is the chain complex $(\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{k+1}), \partial)$ with boundary operator $\partial_n : \Lambda^n \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} \mathfrak{L}$ given by

$$\partial_n(h_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge h_n) = \sum_{i \leq j} (-1)^{i+j} \cdot [h_i, h_j] \wedge h_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{h}_i \cdots \widehat{h}_j \cdots \wedge h_n.$$

Next, we consider the central extension of graded Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k} \rightarrow 1$$

Let $\{E_{p,q}^r\}$ be the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the above central extension which gives

$$(2.1) \quad E_{p,q}^r \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} H_{p+q}(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{p,q}^2 \simeq H_p(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k}) \otimes \Lambda^q \mathfrak{L}_k.$$

Let $D_k(H)$ be the kernel of the Lie bracket $[-, -] : H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_k(H) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{k+1}(H)$ given by $X \otimes Z \mapsto [X, Z]$ for $X \in H$, $Z \in \mathfrak{L}_k$. Then, we have the following theorem given by Igusa and Orr.

Theorem 2.2.1. ([IO]). *There is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces*

$$\text{IO} : H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{l=k}^{2k-2} D_l(H)$$

such that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq m}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \bigoplus_{l=m}^{2m-2} D_l(H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \bigoplus_{l=k}^{2k-2} D_l(H) \end{array}$$

for all $m \geq k$ and such that the composition of the canonical projection and the isomorphism IO

$$H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k}) \twoheadrightarrow H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k})_{k+1} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{IO}_{k+1}} D_k(H) \subset H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_k$$

coincides with the differential $d_{3,0}^2 : E_{3,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{1,1}^2$ of the spectral sequence (2.1). Here $H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k})_{k+1}$ denotes the degree $k+1$ part of $H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1})$.

Finally, we recall the diagrammatic description of $H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k})$. For this purpose, let us recall the space $D_k(H)$ has a description in terms of tree Jacobi diagram. Let T be a connected tree H -colored Jacobi diagram in $\mathcal{C}_k^t(H)$. As explained in section 2.1, for each univalent vertex v_0 with color $\text{col}(v_0)$, we can assign the element $\text{comm}(T_{v_0})$ in $\mathfrak{L}_k$, where T_{v_0} denotes the tree diagram rooted at v_0 . By taking a sum over all univalent vertices v of T , we have a linear map

$$\eta_k(T) := \sum_v \text{col}(v) \otimes \text{comm}(T_v).$$

This linear map η_k gives an isomorphism

$$\eta_k : \mathcal{C}_k^t(H) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D_k(H) \subset H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_k(H).$$

For the diagrammatic discription of $H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k})$, we need to define the *fission map* of tree diagrams given in the following manner. Let T be a degree k connected tree H -colored Jacobi diagram. For each trivalent vertex r , we may consider T as the union of three tree diagrams rooted at r , which we denote by $T_r^{(1)}, T_r^{(2)}$ and $T_r^{(3)}$. Here the numbering 1, 2, 3 is defined according to the cyclically ordering of r . By the method in §2.1, we can associate the element $\text{comm}(T_r^{(i)})$ of $\mathfrak{L}$ to each tree $T_r^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, the fission map $\phi : \mathcal{C}^t(H) \rightarrow \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{L}$ is defined by

$$\phi(T) := \sum_r \text{comm}(T_r^{(3)}) \wedge \text{comm}(T_r^{(2)}) \wedge \text{comm}(T_r^{(1)})$$

where the sum is taken over all trivalent vertices r of T . Moreover, it turns out that its image under the boundary operator ∂_3 is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_3(\phi(T)) = \sum_v \text{col}(v) \wedge \text{comm}(T_v) \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{L}$$

where the sum is taken over all univalent vertices v of T .

By the fission map and theorem 2.2.1, we have the following theorem which gives the description of $H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k})$ in terms of tree diagrams. For the proof, see [Ma]

Theorem 2.2.2. ([Ma]) *The fission of tree diagrams defines a linear isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \bigoplus_{l=k}^{2k-2} C_l^t(H) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k})$$

which shifts the degree by $+1$.³

3. SPECIAL EXPANSIONS

In this section, we review the Malcev completion and Malcev Lie algebra of a group. Then, we recall the group-like expansion and special expansions introduced by Massuyeau in [Ma] and [Ma2]. For more information on the Malcev completion and the Malcev Lie algebra and group-like expansion, consult [Q] and [Ma] respectively.

3.1. The Malcev completion and the Malcev Lie algebra of a group. Let G be a group. Let $\mathbb{K}[G]$ be the group ring of G over $\mathbb{K}$ and $\epsilon : \mathbb{K}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be the augmentation map. Let $I := \text{Ker } \epsilon$ be the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. The augmentation ideal I is generated by $(g - 1)$ ($g \in G$) as a $\mathbb{K}$ -module. We define the I -adic completion of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ by

$$\widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]} := \varprojlim_k \mathbb{K}[G]/I^k$$

The I -adic completion $\widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]}$ is equipped with the filtration given by $\widehat{I}_j := \varprojlim_{k \geq j} I^j / I^k$ for all $j \geq 0$. We define the coproduct $\Delta : \mathbb{K}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[G] \otimes \mathbb{K}[G]$ by $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ ($g \in G$). Since the coproduct Δ is continuous with respect to the I -adic topology, Δ induces the coproduct $\widehat{\Delta} : \widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]}$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]}$. Hence, the I -adic completion $\widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]}$ is endowed with a structure of complete Hopf algebra.

The *Malcev completion* $\mathbf{M}(G)$ of G is the subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]}$ consisting of group-like elements, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{M}(G) := \left\{ x \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]} \mid \widehat{\Delta}(x) = x \widehat{\otimes} x, \epsilon(x) = 1 \right\}.$$

By the filtration of $\widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]}$, $\mathbf{M}(G)$ is equipped with the filtration $\widehat{\Gamma}_j \mathbf{M}(G) := \mathbf{M}(G) \cap (1 + \widehat{I}^j)$ for all $j \geq 1$. The *Malcev Lie algebra* of G is the Lie algebra of primitive elements of $\widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]}$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{m}(G) := \left\{ x \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}[G]} \mid \widehat{\Delta}(x) = x \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} x \right\}$$

³Here, if we define a degree as the number of trivalent vertices, then Φ shifts the degree $+2$ as in [Ma]

with the induced filtration $\widehat{\Gamma_j \mathfrak{m}(G)} := \mathfrak{m}(G) \cap \widehat{I^j}$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Since exponential $\exp$ and logarithmic series $\log$ give the one-to-one correspondence between the primitive and group-like elements in complete Hopf algebra, the Malcev completion $\mathbf{M}(G)$ and the Malcev Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}(G)$ of G are equivalent, where $\exp$ and $\log$ is given by

$$\exp(x) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!}, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{m}(G), \quad \log(y) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(y-1)^m}{m}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbf{M}(G).$$

3.2. Group-like expansion. Let F_n be a free group and we choose a generating system $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F_n . Let H denote the abelianization of F_n with $\mathbb{K}$ coefficients:

$$H := F_n / \Gamma_2 F_n \otimes \mathbb{K}.$$

We set $X_i := [x_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} 1 \in H$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $T(H)$ be the tensor algebra generated by H and $\widehat{T}(H)$ be its degree completion, i.e., $T(H) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^{\otimes k}$, $\widehat{T}(H) = \prod_{k \geq 0} H^{\otimes k}$. We note that $\widehat{T}(H)$ may be identified with $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle$.

A monoid map $\theta : F_n \rightarrow \widehat{T}(H)$ is called a $\mathbb{K}$ -valued Magnus expansion of the free group F_n if $\theta(x) \equiv 1 + \{x\} \pmod{\prod_{k \geq 2} H^{\otimes k}}$ for each $x \in F_n$. We restrict our selves to a special type of $\mathbb{K}$ -valued Magnus expansions as follows. A $\mathbb{K}$ -valued Magnus expansion $\theta : F_n \rightarrow \widehat{T}(H)$ is called a *group-like expansion* if it takes values in the group of group-like elements of $\widehat{T}(H)$, i.e., $\Delta(\theta(x)) = \theta(x) \otimes \theta(x)$ and $\epsilon(\theta(x)) = 1$.

Remark 3.2.1. (Properties of group-like expansions)

(1) A group-like expansion θ of F_n extends to a unique complete Hopf algebra isomorphism

$$\theta : \widehat{\mathbb{K}[F_n]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{T}(H)$$

which is the identity at the graded level. Conversely, any such isomorphism θ restricts to a group-like expansion $\theta : F_n \rightarrow \widehat{T}(H)$.

(2) A group-like expansion θ of F_n induces a unique filtered Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathfrak{m}(F_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathfrak{L}}(H)$$

which is the identity at the graded level. Conversely, any such isomorphism θ induces a unique group-like expansion $\theta : F_n \rightarrow \widehat{T}(H)$.

(3) Let R be a normal subgroup of F_n and let θ be a group-like expansion of F_n . We denote by $\langle\langle \log \theta(R) \rangle\rangle$ the closed ideal of $\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}(H)$ generated by $\log \theta(R)$. Then, $\theta : \mathfrak{m}(F_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{L}}(H)$ induces a unique filtered Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathfrak{m}(F_n/R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathfrak{L}}(H) / \langle\langle \log \theta(R) \rangle\rangle.$$

By Remark 3.2.1(1), for any two expansions θ and θ' of F_n , there exists a unique filtered algebra automorphism $\psi : \widehat{T}(H) \rightarrow \widehat{T}(H)$ inducing the identity at the graded level and such that $\psi \circ \theta = \theta'$.

3.3. Special expansions. Let D_n be a n punctured disk. Let $\pi_1(D_n)$ be the fundamental group of D_n . Then, $\pi_1(D_n)$ can be identified with the free group F_n of rank n on $x_1, \dots, x_n$ where x_i represents a small loop around i -th puncture ($1 \leq i \leq n$). We note that $x_1 \cdots x_n$ represents the boundary curve of D_n .

Let H denote the first homology group of D_n with $\mathbb{K}$ coefficients:

$$H := H_1(D_n, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{K}$$

The homology group H is generated by $X_i := [x_i] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) corresponding to the generator x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) of $\pi_1(D_n, p)$.

Definition 3.3.1. ([Ma2]) A group-like expansion $\theta : F_n \rightarrow \widehat{T}(H)$ is called a *special expansion* if θ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) (tangential condition) $\theta(x_i) = U_i \exp(X_i) U_i^{-1}$ for some $U_i \in \exp(\mathfrak{L})$
- (2) (normalized condition) $\theta(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \exp(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)$

4. TOTAL MILNOR INVARIANTS FOR THE SPECIAL DERIVATIONS

In this section, we introduce the total Milnor invariant for the special derivations of the complete graded free Lie algebra. Then, we define the total Milnor invariant for the monoid of string links in terms of a special expansion. Finally, we show some properties of it.

4.1. The automorphism group of complete graded free Lie algebra. Here, we recall the automorphism group of complete graded free Lie algebra following [AT]. Let $\mathfrak{L}(H)$ be the graded free Lie algebra generated by H . We also denote by $\mathfrak{L}(H)$ its degree completion. We often simply denote by $\mathfrak{L}$ abbreviating H . We note that the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{L}$ is the ring $\mathbb{K}\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle$ of noncommutative power series over $\mathbb{K}$ on n indeterminates $X_1, \dots, X_n$.

Let $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{L})$ be the Lie algebra of derivations of $\mathfrak{L}$. Note that each derivation $\psi \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{L})$ is completely determined by its values $\psi(X_1), \dots, \psi(X_n) \in \mathfrak{L}$.

Hence, the grading of $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{L})$ is induced by the grading of $\mathfrak{L}$.

For each $\psi \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{L})$, ψ is called a *tangential derivation* of $\mathfrak{L}$ if there exists $Y_i \in \mathfrak{L}$ such that $\psi(X_i) = [Y_i, X_i]$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). We denote by $\text{tDer}(\mathfrak{L})$ the subspace generated by tangential derivations and we may see that $\text{tDer}(\mathfrak{L})$ forms a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{L})$ (cf. [AT, Proposition 3.4]).

For each $\psi \in \text{tDer}(\mathfrak{L})$, ψ is called a *special derivation* if $\psi(X_1 + \cdots + X_n) = 0$, i.e. $[Y_1, X_1] + \cdots + [Y_n, X_n] = 0$. Special derivations form a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}$ and we denote it by $\text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L})$.

Since each $\psi \in \text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L})$ is completely determined by its values $\psi(X_i) = [Y_i, X_i]$, we may identify $\text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L})$ with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{L}/\langle X_i \rangle$ by the correspondence $\psi \mapsto (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. In the following, for each $\psi \in \text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L})$, we often write that $\psi = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ by this identification.

Let $\text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L})$ be the group of automorphisms which sends X_i to $U_i X_i U_i^{-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) for some $U_i \in \exp(\mathfrak{L})$ and fix $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, i.e.

$$\text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L}) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{L}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \varphi(X_i) = U_i X_i U_i^{-1} \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ \text{for some } U_i \in \exp(\mathfrak{L}) \\ \varphi(X_1 + \cdots + X_n) = X_1 + \cdots + X_n \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

For each $\varphi \in \text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L})$, we also write that $\varphi = (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ as above under the condition that the coefficient of X_i in $\log(U_i)$ is 0. Then, the exponential $\exp$ and the logarithm $\log$ gives the bijection between $\text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L})$ and $\text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L})$.

4.2. The total Milnor invariant for special derivation. Let us define the total Milnor invariant for special derivations.

Definition 4.2.1. *The map*

$$\mu : \text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L}) \longrightarrow H \otimes \mathfrak{L}$$

given by

$$\mu(\psi) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes Y_i$$

for each $\psi = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in \text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L})$ is called the total Milnor invariant of ψ .

Remark 4.2.2. By composing μ with $\log$, we have the map

$$\log \circ \mu : \text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L}) \longrightarrow H \otimes \mathfrak{L}$$

given by

$$\mu(\log(\varphi)) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes Y_i$$

for each $\varphi = (\exp Y_1, \dots, \exp Y_n) \in \text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L})$.

In the following, we often denote $\log \circ \mu$ simply by μ as far as no risk of confusion. Let us consider the bracket map $[-, -] : H \otimes \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}; X \otimes Y \mapsto [X, Y]$.

Lemma 4.2.3. *The image of the total Milnor invariant $\mu(\text{sDer}(\mathfrak{L}))$ is contained in $D(H) := \text{Ker}([-, -])$.*

Proof. For each special derivation $\psi = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, we have $[X_1, Y_1] + \dots + [X_n, Y_n] = 0$ by speciality. Hence, the assertion immediately follows. $\square$

4.3. The total Milnor invariant for string links. Here, we give the “infinitesimal” version of the Artin representation of pure braid group. For $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$, ψ induces the automorphism $\widehat{\phi}$ of the complete Hopf algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{K}[F_n]}$. Hence, by restricting to the primitive part, we obtain a filtered Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}(\phi) : \mathfrak{m}(F_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}(F_n)$. Then, we have a group homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m} : \text{Aut}(F_n) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}^{\text{fil}}(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)), \quad \phi \mapsto \mathfrak{m}(\phi).$$

where $\text{Aut}^{\text{fil}}(\mathfrak{m}(F_n))$ denotes the group of filtration preserving automorphisms of $\mathfrak{m}(F_n)$. Moreover, it turns out that we have an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m} : \text{Aut}(F_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}_{\log(F_n)}^{\text{fil}}(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)), \quad \phi \mapsto \mathfrak{m}(\phi).$$

where we set $\text{Aut}_{\log(G)}^{\text{fil}}(\mathfrak{m}(G)) := \{\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}(G)) \mid \psi(\log(G)) = \log(G)\}$. Hence, by composing Art in §1.1 with $\mathfrak{m}$, we obtain the infinitesimal Artin representation

$$\mathfrak{m}(\text{Art}) : P_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_0(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)), \quad L \mapsto \mathfrak{m}(\text{Art}(L))$$

where $\text{Aut}_0(\mathfrak{m}(F_n))$ denotes the following subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}(F_n))$:

$$\text{Aut}_0(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)) := \left\{ \Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)) \left| \begin{array}{l} \Phi(\log(x_i)) = \log(y_i x_i y_i^{-1}) \\ \text{for some } y_i \in F_n \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ \Phi(\log(x_1 \cdots x_n)) = \log(x_1 \cdots x_n) \\ \Phi(\log(F_n)) = \log(F_n) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Let $\theta : \mathfrak{m}(F_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{L}}$ be a special expansion. For any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}(F_n))$, we define the automorphism $\theta^*(\phi) := \theta \circ \phi \circ \theta^{-1} \in \text{Aut}^{\text{fil}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}})$, where $\text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}})$ denotes the subgroup of $\text{Aut}^{\text{fil}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}})$ consisting of filtration-preserving automorphisms. Hence, we have a homomorphism, called the *special Artin representation*,

$$\text{Art}^\theta : P_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(F_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)) \xrightarrow{\theta^*} \text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L})$$

Then, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3.1. *The image of Art^θ is given by*

$$Art^\theta(PB_n) = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{L}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \varphi(X_i) = (U_i^{-1}\theta(y_i)U_i)X_i(U_i^{-1}\theta(y_i)U_i)^{-1} \\ (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ \varphi(X_1 + \cdots + X_n) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

when $\theta(x_i) = U_i \exp(X_i) U_i^{-1}$ for some $U_i \in \exp(\mathfrak{L})$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

Proof. Since θ is a special expansion, we have $\theta^{-1}(X_i) = \log(g_i x_i g_i^{-1})$ for $g_i \in \exp(\mathfrak{m}(F_n))$ such that $\theta(g_i) = U_i^{-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta \circ \mathfrak{m}(Art(L)) \circ \theta^{-1}(X_i) \\ &= \theta \circ \mathfrak{m}(Art(L))(\log(g_i x_i g_i^{-1})) \\ &= \theta(\log(\mathfrak{m}(Art)(L)(g_i x_i g_i^{-1}))) \\ &= \theta(\log(g_i x_i g_i^{-1})|_{x_j = y_j x_j y_j^{-1}}) \\ &= \log(\theta(g_i x_i g_i^{-1})|_{\theta(x_j) = \theta(y_j x_j y_j^{-1})}) \\ &= X_i|_{U_j X_j U_j^{-1} = \theta(y_j) U_j X_j U_j^{-1} \theta(y_j)^{-1}} \\ &= U_i^{-1} \theta(y_i) U_i X_i U_i^{-1} \theta(y_i)^{-1} U_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assertion follows. $\square$

Then, we may define the total Milnor invariant for the pure braid group PB_n as follows: For $L \in PB_n$, we set $\mu^\theta = \mu \circ \log \circ Art^\theta$, i.e. we have

$$\mu^\theta : PB_n \longrightarrow H \otimes \mathfrak{L}; \quad L \mapsto \mu(\log(Art^\theta(L))).$$

Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3.2. *The restriction of the degree k part of the total Milnor invariant to the k -th term of the Milnor filtration $PB_n(k) := PB_n \cap SL_n(k)$ coincides with the Milnor invariant of degree k , i.e. we have*

$$\mu_k^\theta|_{PB_n(k)} = \mu_k \in \text{Hom}(PB_n(k), H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_k).$$

Proof. For $L \in PB_n(k)$, we have $Art^\theta(L)(X_i) = U_i^{-1}\theta(y_i(L))U_i$ and $\log \theta(y_i(L)) = Y_i^{(k)}(L) + (\text{higher degree terms})$. Since θ is a Magnus expansion, $Y_i^{(k)}(L)$ is independent of choice of θ , especially, we have

$$\theta^M(y_i) = 1 + Y_i^{(k)}(L) + (\text{higher degree terms})$$

where θ^M is the standard Magnus expansion defined by $x_i \mapsto 1 + X_i$. Moreover, by direct computation, we may see that $\log(U_i^{-1}\theta(y_i(L))U_i) = Y_i^{(k)}(L) + (\text{higher degree terms})$. Hence, by definition of μ^θ , we conclude that $\mu_k^\theta|_{PB_n(k)} = \mu_k$. This completes the proof. $\square$

As in §1.1 the Artin representation of the pure braid group can be extended to the monoid of string links. Hence, we also have the infinitesimal Artin representation of the monoid of string links:

$$\mathfrak{m}(Art_k(L)) : SL_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_0(\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n)); \quad L \mapsto \mathfrak{m}(Art_k(L)).$$

One may see that $\text{Ker}(\mathfrak{m}(Art_k(L))) = SL_n(k)$. In terms of a special expansion θ , we have the special Artin representation

$$Art_k^\theta : SL_n \longrightarrow \text{sAut}(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1}); \quad L \mapsto \theta^*(\mathfrak{m}(Art_k(L))).$$

Hence, we have the truncated total Milnor invariant

$$\mu_{[1,k[}^\theta : SL_n \longrightarrow H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_{\geq 1}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k}; \quad L \mapsto \mu(\log(\text{Art}_k^\theta(L))).$$

By taking the inverse limit of the maps $\mu_{[1,k[}^\theta$ with respect to k , we obtain a map

$$\mu^\theta : SL_n \longrightarrow H \otimes \mathfrak{L}$$

since we have the projection $\mu_{[1,k[}^\theta \rightarrow \mu_{[1,l[}^\theta$ induced by the canonical projection $H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_{\geq 1}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k} \rightarrow H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_{\geq 1}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq l}$ for $1 \leq k \leq l$. The map μ^θ restricts to the total Milnor invariant on P_n . And we can easily extend Theorem 4.3.2 to the case of SL_n .

Theorem 4.3.3. *The restriction of the degree k part of the total Milnor invariant to the k -th term of the Milnor filtration $SL_n(k)$ coincides with the Milnor invariant of degree k , i.e. we have*

$$\mu_k^\theta|_{SL_n(k)} = \mu_k \in \text{Hom}(SL_n(k), H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_k).$$

4.4. The diagrammatic interpretation of μ^θ . In this section, we show that the truncation of μ^θ is a monoid homomorphism. In the following, we keep the notation as in §6.3.

Proposition 4.4.1. *The restriction of degree $[k, 2k[$ truncation of μ^θ to the k -th Milnor submonoid SL_n*

$$\mu_{[k,2k[}^\theta := \sum_{m=k}^{2k-1} \mu_m^\theta : SL_n(k) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{m=k}^{2k-1} H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_m$$

is a monoid homomorphism and its kernel is $SL_n(2k)$.

Proof. By definition of special Artin representation Art^θ , for $L, L' \in SL_n(k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Art}^\theta(L \circ L')(X_i) &= \text{Art}^\theta(L)(\text{Art}^\theta(L')(X_i)) \\ &= \text{Art}^\theta(L)(\exp(Y_i(L'))X_i \exp(Y_i(L'))^{-1}) \\ &= \exp(\text{Art}^\theta(L)(Y_i(L'))) \exp(Y_i(L))X_i(\exp(\text{Art}^\theta(L)(Y_i(L'))) \exp(Y_i(L)))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\exp(\text{Art}^\theta(L)(Y_i(L'))) \exp(Y_i(L))) &\equiv \text{Art}^\theta(L)(Y_i(L'))_{[k,2k[} + Y_i(L)_{[k,2k[} \pmod{\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k}} \\ &\equiv Y_i(L)_{[k,2k[} + Y_i(L')_{[k,2k[} \pmod{\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality follows from the fact that $\text{Art}^\theta(L)$ acts on $\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k}/\mathfrak{L}_{2k}$ trivially and we denote by $Y_i(L)_{[k,2k[}$ and $Y_i(L')_{[k,2k[}$ the degree $[k, 2k[$ truncation of $Y_i(L)$ and $Y_i(L')$. Hence, by definition of μ^θ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{[k,2k[}^\theta(L \circ L) &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes \log(\exp(\text{Art}^\theta(L)(Y_i(L'))) \exp(Y_i(L))) \pmod{\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes (Y_i(L)_{[k,2k[} + Y_i(L')_{[k,2k[}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes Y_i(L)_{[k,2k[} + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes Y_i(L')_{[k,2k[} \\ &= \mu_{[k,2k[}^\theta(L) + \mu_{[k,2k[}^\theta(L'). \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of $\mu_{[k,2k[}^\theta$ is clearly $SL_n(2k)$ from the definition of μ^θ . This completes the proof. $\square$

From this proposition, we can deduce that the following algebraic structure of SL_n .

Corollary 4.4.2. *The quotient monoid $SL_n(k)/SL_n(2k)$ is torsion-free abelian monoid.*

By composing this proposition and Lemma 4.2.3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4.3. *Notations being as above, for each $L \in SL_n(k)$, the degree $[k, 2k[$ truncation $\mu_{[k,2k[}^\theta$ of μ^θ is a monoid homomorphism and takes values in $\bigoplus_{m=k}^{2k-1} D_m(H)$. Hence, we have the following diagram valued monoid homomorphism*

$$\eta_{[k,2k[}^{-1} \circ \mu_{[k,2k[}^\theta = \bigoplus_{m=k}^{2k-1} \eta_m^{-1} \circ \mu_m^\theta : SL_n(k) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{m=k}^{2k-1} C_m^t(H).$$

5. THE INFINITESIMAL MORITA-MILNOR HOMOMORPHISM

Morita introduced a refinement of the k -th Johnson homomorphism, called the *Morita homomorphism*, as a homomorphism from the k -th Johnson subgroup of the mapping class group of a surface to the 3rd homology group $H_3(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n)$ in [Mo]. And then, Massuyeau constructed its infinitesimal version, called the *infinitesimal Morita homomorphism*, as a homomorphism from the k -th Johnson subgroup to the 3rd homology group $H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n))$ in [Ma]. In this section, we define the infinitesimal Morita-Milnor homomorphism which is a string analogue of infinitesimal Morita homomorphism.

5.1. The infinitesimal Morita-Milnor homomorphism. For each integer $k \geq 0$, we want to define a map

$$M_{k+1}^\theta : SL_n(k+1) \longrightarrow H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n)).$$

For this purpose, we need the following lemma: For any $l > m$, the canonical projection $t_{l,m} : \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq m}$ induces the linear map $t_{l,m*} : H_*(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq l}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq m})$. Then we have

Lemma 5.1.1. ([Ma, Lemma 4.1]) *The linear map*

$$t_{l,m*} : H_2(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq l+1}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq m+1})$$

is trivial for any $l > m$. Moreover, the linear map

$$t_{l,m*} : H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq l+1}) \rightarrow H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq m+1})$$

is trivial for any $l \geq 2m$.

Let θ be a special expansion of F_n . As in §5.2, for any $L \in SL_n(k+1)$, we have $Art^\theta(L) = (\exp(Y_1(L)), \dots, \exp(Y_n(L)))$. We denote by $Y_i(L)^{(l)}$ the degree l -part of the Lie series $Y_i(L)$, i.e. we have

$$Y_i(L) = \sum_{l \geq k+1}^{\infty} Y_i(L)^{(l)}.$$

We then set

$$\sigma_L := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=k}^{2k+1} X_i \wedge Y_i^{(l)}(L) \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+2})$$

whose image under the boundary operator ∂_2 is given by

$$\partial_2 \sigma_L = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=k}^{2k+1} [X_i, Y_i^{(l)}(L)] \in \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+2})$$

By Lemma 4.2.3, we have $\partial_2 \sigma_L = 0$. Therefore, σ_L is a 2-cycle.

By lemma 5.1.1, the reduction $\{\sigma_L\} \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1})$ is a 2-cycle and so is a boundary. We choose $t_L \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1})$ such that $\partial_3 t_L = \{\sigma_L\}$. Since the reduction $\{\sigma_L\} = 0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1})$, the reduction $\{t_L\} \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1})$ is a 3-cycle. We then define

$$\overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta(L) := [\{t_L\}] \in H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1}).$$

We note that the isomorphism $\theta : \mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{l+1}F_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq l+1}$ is compatible with the canonical projection $\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq l+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq m+1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{l+1}F_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{m+1}F_n)$ for all $l \geq m$. We set $M_{k+1} : SL_n(k+1) \rightarrow H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n))$ by the composition $M_{k+1}^\theta := \theta_*^{-1} \circ \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta$ where $\theta_* : H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n)) \rightarrow H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1})$ is isomorphism induced by θ .

Lemma 5.1.2. *For $L \in SL_n(k+1)$, the map*

$$M_{k+1}^\theta : SL_n(k+1) \rightarrow H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n))$$

is a well-defined monoid homomorphism.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta$ is a well-defined monoid homomorphism.

To begin with, we show that $\overline{\mu}_{k+1}^\theta$ is independent of a choice of $t_L \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1})$. Let $t'_L \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1})$ be another element such that $\partial_3 t'_L = \{\sigma_L\}$. Then the difference $t_L - t'_L$ is a 3-cycle. Then, by Lemma 5.1.1, the reduction $\{t_L - t'_L\} = \{t_L\} - \{t'_L\}$ must be null-homologous. Hence, we conclude that $[\{t_L\}] = [\{t'_L\}] \in H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1})$. Therefore, $\overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta$ is well-defined.

Secondly, we prove that $\overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta$ is a monoid homomorphism. Take another $L' \in SL_n(k+1)$ and choose $t'_L \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1})$ such that $\partial_3(t'_L) = \{\sigma_{L'}\}$. Here, $\{\sigma_{L'}\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=k+1}^{2k} X_i \wedge Y_i^{(l)}(L')$. Then we set $\overline{\mu}_{k+1}^\theta(L') = [\{t'_L\}]$.

As in the proof of Proposition 4.4.1, we have

$$\log(\exp(\text{Art}^\theta(L)(Y_i(L')))) \exp(Y_i(L)) \equiv \sum_{l=k}^{2k} Y_i^{(l)}(L) + Y_i^{(l)}(L') \bmod \mathfrak{L}_{2k+1}$$

Hence, we see that the reduction $\{\sigma_{LL'}\}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma_{LL'}\} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=k}^{2k} X_i \wedge (Y_i^{(l)}(L) + Y_i^{(l)}(L')) \\ &= \{\sigma_L\} + \{\sigma_{L'}\} \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $t_{LL'} \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1})$ so that $\partial_3(t_{LL'}) = \{\sigma_{LL'}\} = \{\sigma_L\} + \{\sigma_{L'}\}$, we have $\overline{M}_k^\theta(L \circ L') = [\{t_{LL'}\}]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta(L \circ L') &= [\{t_{LL'}\}] \\ &= [\{t_L\} + \{t_{L'}\}] \\ &= \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta(L) + \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta(L'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta$ is a monoid homomorphism and M_{k+1}^θ is also a monoid homomorphism. $\square$

Definition 5.1.3. *Let θ be a special expansion. For $L \in SL(k+1)$, the homomorphism*

$$M_{k+1}^\theta : SL_n(k+1) \longrightarrow H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)/\Gamma_{k+1}\mathfrak{m}(F_n))$$

is called the infinitesimal Morita-Milnor homomorphism.

Theorem 5.1.4. *Notations being as above, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SL_n(k+1) & \xrightarrow{M_{k+1}^\theta} & H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n)/\Gamma_{k+1}\mathfrak{m}(F_n)) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\theta_*} & H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1}) \\ \downarrow \mu_{[k+1, 2k+1]}^\theta & & & & \uparrow \simeq \Phi \\ \bigoplus_{l=k+1}^{2k} D_l(H) & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\eta} & \bigoplus_{l=k+1}^{2k} \mathcal{C}_l^t(H) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. For $L \in SL_n(k+1)$, we want to show that $\overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta(L) = \Phi \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \mu_{[k, 2k+1]}^\theta(L)$. By the definition of the map $\mu_{[k+1, 2k+1]}^\theta(L)$, we have

$$\mu_{[k+1, 2k+1]}^\theta(L) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=k+1}^{2k} \left(X_i \otimes Y_i^{(l)}(L) \right).$$

By the isomorphism $\eta := \bigoplus_{l=k+1}^{2k} \eta_l : \bigoplus_{l=k+1}^{2k} \mathcal{C}_l^t(H) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{l=k+1}^{2k} D_l(H) \subset \bigoplus_{l=k+1}^{2k} H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_l(H)$ we set $b_L := \eta^{-1} \mu_{[k+1, 2k+1]}^\theta(L)$ which is the diagrammatic description of $\mu_{[k+1, 2k+1]}^\theta$.

Let $\phi(b_L) \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1})$ is the image under fission map ϕ of the linear combination of trees b_L . Then, it is enough to show that $\partial_3 \phi(b_L) = \{\sigma_L\}$. Here, $\partial_3 \phi(b_L)$ is given by

$$(5.1) \quad \partial_3 \phi(b_L) = \sum_v \text{col}(v) \wedge \text{comm}(T_v)$$

where the sum is taken over all univalent vertices v of b_L with $\text{col}(v)$. Let us consider the natural embedding

$$\gamma : \bigoplus_{l=k}^{2k} H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_l \longrightarrow \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq 2k+1}); u \otimes v \longmapsto \{u\} \wedge \{v\}.$$

By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.2) \quad \gamma\eta(b_L) &= \gamma \left(\sum_v \text{col}(v) \otimes \text{comm}(T_v) \right) \\
 &= \sum_v \text{col}(v) \wedge \text{comm}(T_v)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the range of sum is same as the above.

Hence, one sees that $\partial_3\phi(b_L) = \gamma\eta(b_L)$ by (5.1) and (5.2).

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_3\phi(b_L) &= \gamma\eta(b_L) \\
 &= \gamma\eta(\eta^{-1}\nu_{[k+1, 2k+1[}^\theta(L)) \\
 &= \gamma\nu_{[k+1, 2k+1[}^\theta(L) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=k+1}^{2k} X_i \wedge Y_i^{(l)}(L) \\
 &= \{\sigma_L\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\overline{M}_k^\theta(L) = [\{\phi(b_L)\}]$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.1.5. *Notations being as above, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 SL_n(k+1) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{k+1}^\theta} & H_3(\mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n)) \\
 & \searrow \mu_{k+1} & \downarrow d_{3,0}^2 \\
 & & H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_{k+1}(H)
 \end{array}$$

Here the homomorphism $d_{3,0}^2$ is the differential of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the central extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}(\Gamma_k F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_k F_n) \longrightarrow 1$$

Proof. Let θ be a normalized expansion of F_n . Noting the Remark 3.2.1 (2) and (3), θ induces the isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1}$$

and so we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (5.3) \quad 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}_k(H) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_{k+1}F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}(F_n/\Gamma_k F_n) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \parallel & & \simeq \downarrow \theta & & \simeq \downarrow \theta \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}_k(H) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k} \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

By the naturality of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, it suffices to prove that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 SL_n(k+1) & \xrightarrow{\overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta} & H_3(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L}_{\geq k+1}) \\
 & \searrow \mu_{k+1} & \downarrow d_{3,0}^2 \\
 & & H \otimes \mathfrak{L}_{k+1}(H)
 \end{array}$$

where the differential $d_{3,0}^2$ is the differential of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the central extension of second line of (5.3). By theorem 2.2.1 and theorem 2.2.2, we have $d_{3,0}^2 \circ \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta = \eta_{k+1} \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta$. And we have $\eta_{k+1} \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta = \mu_{k+1}^\theta$ by theorem 5.1.4. Moreover, since μ_{k+1}^θ coincides with the Milnor invariant of degree $k+1$ by Theorem 5.2.2, we conclude that

$$d_{3,0}^2 \circ \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta = \eta_{k+1} \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \overline{M}_{k+1}^\theta = M_{k+1}^\theta = \mu_{k+1}.$$

□

Since the kernel of the truncation $\mu_{[k+1, 2k+1]}$ is clearly $SL(2k+1)$, the following immediately follows.

Corollary 5.1.6. *The kernel of M_{k+1}^θ is $SL_n(2k+1)$.*

Acknowledgement. The author would be sincerely grateful to Gwénaél Mas-suyeau for reading draft of this paper and giving the author helpful comment and encouragement. The author would also be sincerely grateful to Hidekazu Furusho, Toshie Takata, Kazuo Habiro for reading the draft and giving the author helpful comments. The author is partly supported by JSPS Fellows (14J12303).

REFERENCES

- [AET] A. Alekseev, B. Enriquez, C. Torossian, *Drinfeld associators, braid groups and explicit solutions of the Kashiwara-Vergne equations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. **112** (2010), 143–189.
- [AT] A. Alekseev, C. Torossian *The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and Drinfeld’s associators*, Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 415–463.
- [BN] D. Bar-Natan, *On the Vassiliev knot invariants*, Topology 34, 1995, 101-126.
- [Bi] J. Birman, *Braids, Links and Mapping class groups*, Annals of mathematics studies 82, Princeton University Press, 1975.
- [HL] N. Habegger, X. S. Lin, *On link concordance and Milnor’s $\bar{\mu}$ invariants*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 30 (1998), no. 4, 419–428.
- [HM] N. Habegger, G. Masbaum, *The Kontsevich integral and Milnor’s invariants*, Topology 39 (2000), no. 6, 1253–1289.
- [IO] K. Igusa, K. E. Orr, *Links, pictures and the homology of nilpotent groups*, Topology, 40(6), 1125–1166, 2001.
- [Ih] Y. Ihara, *The Galois representation arising from $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and Tate twists of even degree*, Galois groups over $\mathbb{K}$ (Berkeley, CA, 1987), 299–313, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 16, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [Ka] N. Kawazumi, *Cohomological aspects of Magnus expansions*, arXiv: 0505497[math.GT], 2006.
- [KMT] H. Kodani, M. Morishita, Y. Terashima, *Arithmetic topology in Ihara theory*, in preparation.
- [L] X. S. Lin, *Power series expansions and invariants of links*, Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993), 184–202, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 2.1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

- [MKS] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory: Presentations of Groups in Terms of Generators and Relations*, Second revised edition. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1976.
- [Ma] G. Massuyeau, *Infinitesimal Morita homomorphisms and the tree-level of the LMO invariant*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 140 (2012), no. 1, 101–161.
- [Ma2] G. Massuyeau, *Formal descriptions of Turaev's loop operations*, arXiv:1511.03974.
- [Mi] J. Milnor, *Isotopy of links*, Algebraic geometry and topology, A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1957), pp. 280–306.
- [Mo] S. Morita, *Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces*, Duke Math. J. 70 (1993), no. 3, 699–726.
- [Q] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. (2), 90, 205–295, 1969.
- [S] J. Stallings, *Homology and central series of groups*, J. Algebra, **2** (1965), 170–181.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, 744, MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA, 819-0395 JAPAN.

E-mail address: h-kodani@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp